UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FILED
'08 AUG -6 PM 1:17
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

This space for Clerk's Office File Stamp

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☒ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Papas

FROM: J. Paris, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/5/08

CASE NO.: 08mj2261 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Attorney Albert Sterwerf

CASE TITLE: USA v. Bravo

DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Request for Continuance

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

✓	Local Rule	Discrepancy
☐	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
☐	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
☐	**5.4**	**Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.**
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
☐	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
☐	7.1	Missing table of contents
☐	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
☐	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
☐		Supplemental documents require court order
☐		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		OTHER:Attorney is not currently attorney of record

Date forwarded: 8/5/08

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties. COUNSEL WILL APPEAR TELEPHONICALLY AT HRG 8/7/8 MOTION IS MOOT.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Leo S. Papas

Dated: 8/5/8

By: JMJ

cc: All Parties

REJECTED

DETAINED

Albert M. Sterwerf;
1352 Irvine Blvd.
Tustin, California 92780
Tel: (714) 508-7000
Fax: (714) 508-7002

Attorney for Defendant: Esther Bravo & Ana Lucia Bravo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

v.

ANA LUCIA BRAVO

ESTHER BRAVO,
Defendant.

CASE NO.: 08MJ2261

REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE

Hearing: August 7, 2008
Time: 9:00 A.M.
Court: Judge Papas

NOTICE TO THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL:

The Attorney for the defendants ESTER BRAVO and Ana Lucia Bravo makes the following request for a continuance. Attorney Sterwerf makes this request due to dissolution of marriage hearing in Monterey County Superior Court. Attorney Albert Sterwerf is a party to the dissolution in Monterey County.

Counsel had believed that opposing counsel in the dissolution would continue the hearing due to the matter being subject to dismissal by the Monterey Court on August 21, 2008, but opposing counsel has refused to continue the Monterey matter. The Monterey Family Court does not accept telephonic appearances.